In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, Chandigarh

CWP No. 16158 of 2006

Date of Decision: October 12, 2006

Mukesh Bharti

…Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

…Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

PRESENT: Mr. Ashok Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JUDGMENT

M.M. KUMAR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition is directed against the cancellation of transfer order by passing order dated 9.10.2006 (P-3). Before approaching this Court the petitioner has not made any representation to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 by bringing to their notice that the policy instructions providing guidelines for transfer have been violated by the impugned order. Therefore, we deem it just and appropriate to relegate the petitioner to the remedy of filing representation before respondent No. 2 by brining to his notice all the grounds taken in this petition showing that the policy instructions providing guidelines for transfer have been violated. Let a representation be made under a

Registered A.D. cover within a period of two weeks and if that be done then respondent No. 2 shall pass a speaking order on the representation made by the petitioner within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the representation.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

(M.M. KUMAR)
JUDGE

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

October 12, 2006
Pkapoor